# Exhibit B

1	
2	
3	
4	
S	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	-
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

IN THE UNITED	STATES	DISTRICT	COURT
FOR THE DE	द्रमञ्जूता स	or oreans	(D)

STEVEN G. MILLETT,

MELODY, J. MILLETT,

On Behalf of themselves

And all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs;

VS. 05-599-SLR

TRUBLINK, INC.,

A Trans Union Company

Defendant.

#### MOLHME I

DEPOSITION OF MELODY J. MILLETT, a Plaintiff, taken on behalf of the Defendant before Nissa M. Sharp, CSR, CCR #528, pursuant to Notice on the 3rd of May, 2007, at the offices of CLOON LAW FIRM, One Hallbrook Place, 11150 Overbrook Road, Suite 350, Leawood, Kansas.





#### APPEARANCES

Appearing for the Plaintiffs was MS. B.

JOYCE YEAGER OF YEAGER LAW FIRM, LLC, City

Center Square, 26th Floor, 1100 Main Street,

Kansas City, Missouri 64105.

Also appearing for the Plaintiffs was MR. BRYSON R. CLOON of CLOON LAW FIRM, One Hallbrook Place, 11150 Overbrook Road, Suite 350, Leawood, Kansas 66211.

Appearing for the Defendant were MR. MICHAEL O'NEIL and MS. HEATHER SCHUMAN of DLA PIPER US, LLP, 203 North Lasalle Street; Suite 1900, Chicago, Illinois 60601-1293.

Also present was Leda Gipson of MCR VIDEO.

INDEX.

WITNESS:

MELODY J. MILLETT

19 Examination by Mr. O'Neil

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

₽

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

118

21

22

23

24

25

Metropolitan

9200 Indian Oreek Parkway, Sulte 808 Overland Park, Kansas 68210 1.800.748.7511 • 915.617.8800 • Pax 915.217.8880

PAGE:

Ĭ.	
2	
3	
4	
5	
. <b>6</b> ::	
8	
<b>g</b>	
10	
11.	
12	
13	
10 11 12 13 14 15	and the first own from
15	
IĞ	:
17	
10	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

25

question is you don't know who Mr. Perez is,

- Uh-huh. So, as I think you mentioned Q. before, you know, your lawsuits involve a number of things, one of them is dissatisfaction with the defendants' products, you know, when it comes to Experian, TrueLink, and Equifax, right?
- I'm sorry, can you please reread the A question?
- I'll withdraw it. You mention identity  $Q_{\cdot \cdot \cdot}$ theft, do you remember?
  - Ą., Yes.
- Okay. And that's kind of what prompted Q. your investigation, your purchase of products and then the lawsuits, right?
  - Well, we had identity theft, yes. Α.
- And to be more specific, what you were 0 referring to there is that somebody apparently named "Mr. Perez" was using your husband's Social Security number, right?
  - A., Yes.
- Q., Okay. Have you ever been a victim of identity thatt?
- I have been a victim of data breaches, but I don't necessarily know that my particular identity has been stolen. But, you know, given



	ŀ
	Ĭ.
1	
2	
2	
3	
4	
5	CONCRETE MANAGEMENT AND
6	
7	
В	******
7 (0)	
10	
11	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	
13	
14	***************************************
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	2000
<del>ni</del> r <del>T</del>	-
20	Market III
21	
22	
23	SANCES TO THE PROPERTY OF THE
24	1

lead in investigating the misuse of your husband's Social Security number?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that you took the lead in ordering file disclosures from the oredit bureaus for your husband?
- A, Yes. As his agent, I ordered those file disclosures.
- Q. And were you his agent for disputing information with the bureaus regarding his credit file?
- A. Yes, myself and Mr. Adler, and of course his other legal counsel.
- O. And were you also his agent for ordering products from TrueLink and Equifax and Experian, right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And you were also his agent in answering the interrogatories that were directed at him, right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And that's because -- isn't that because -- is one reason for that is because you thought you knew the information better than your husband?



1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
4	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	:
15	
16	
17	::
19	
20	
21	
22	:

24

25

agreement that you get for every single piece of software you ever install from top to bottom?

Q. I don't generally answer questions in a deposition, but I'll tell you no. I don't. But you know what, if I'm going to sue somebody on a class-wide basis for it, yeah, I'm going to read it.

MS. YEAGER: I'm going to object

- Q. (BY MR. O'WEIL) Are you seeking any money for you and your husband as part of this settlement?
- A. Well, I believe there would be statutory relief under the Kansas Consumer Protect Act, and I believe there would be the matter of the contract breach and the fees paid on behalf for the product that is the subject of the breach.
- Q. So, you want the money back that you paid for the products that you're not satisfied with, is that right?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Do you want all the money back?
  - A. Well, yeah. For the class, yes, of

COULES.

to the --



1;`
2
3:
4
5
ē
7
8
9
10
11
12.
13
14
15
16
<b>17</b>
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

•	Q.	Well,	right r	iow	I'm j	just	asking a	bout
you,	we!	II get	to the	cla	Se :	Do y	you know	liow"
muel	ı mon	ay you	ve paid	l Tr	ueLin	ık ör	ver the v	ears?

- A. I'm sure it's in one of those documents somewhere that I've seen.
  - Q. I haven't seen it, but.
- A. I believe it was in your production, it's the order management screen that's got all the transactions on there.
- Q. And do you want all -- do you want the court to order that TrueLink must deliver all that money back to you?
- A. Well, I believe I've heard the legal term referred to as "disengorgement," is that how that works? When you make false claims and entice people to buy something under false pretenses, that you don't have the right to keep the money that you've made as a result of those false assertions, is that how that works? I think.
- Q. Is it your understanding that you brought a claim for disgorgment against TrueLink?
- A. It's my understanding that the class will get some kind of relief for the products



25

that they purchased that did not work. Now, how much relief that is or is not is a determination for the court to make or as a result of any class action settlement, should there be one.

- Q. Well, you would agree that there's some value to the products that you've purchased from Truebink, right?
- A. Well, I mean, the value that exists for the product only exists in the fact that you're viewing your consumer disclosure online. That, you know, there's a convenience value in that aspect of it. But it does not perform as it's advertised to perform in the fact that it does not provide complete protection from identify theft. It doesn't even provide basic protection from identity theft.
- Q: Have you canceled the subscription that Mr. Millett has with TrueLink for credit monitoring?
  - A. I believe so. It's been canceled now.
  - Q. Okay. And when did you cancel it?
- A: I believe it was allowed to expire and lapse, and the credit card that's in there was expired and so you -- they have not been able to place a new charge. So, I believe it lapsed in



and	of	its own acco	ord.	It's	not	like I calle	đ
some	boc	ly to cancel	<b>i</b> t				

- Q. So, when did that occur?
- A. I think the last charge was in November of 2006 and there hasn't been one since.
- Q. Why didn't you make effort to give a new credit card so you can continue the credit monitoring service?
  - A. Because there's no purpose in it.
- Q. When did you come to the conclusion that there was no purpose in purchasing the credit monitoring service from TrueLink?
- A. Well, I mean, it's been some time over the course of the litigation. But, I mean, now that I know that it really doesn't even cover for anything, then there was just no point in it, so I've discontinued it.
  - Q. And when did you learn that?
- A. Like I said, that's been a evolving process as new evidence has arised in this case as we've gone along. But, I mean, there have been little things. But, I mean, getting the information, for example, that the -- that the -- I'm drawing a blank here for a moment -- that the Home Depot account had been relabeled and



that that information was	still not presenting
in the product. The fact	that we had had false
alert triggers on and off	throughout 2005, I
believe was the year that	those were occurring
in. That it serves no pu	cpose, so I just
diamontinisi ito	•

- Q. Prior to November of 2006, you discontinued it?
- A. No. I didn't renew -- the last charge was in November of 2006, and I've not placed a new credit card in there.
- Q. Was November 2006 when you came to the conclusion that there was no purpose for purchasing the credit monitoring service?
- A. No. It was when I made the conscious decision to go in there and end it. TrueLink's monitoring service is a negative opt-in. You must specifically opt out or the subscription continues automatically through no interference or whatever of your own.
  - Q. Did you ever cancel it affirmatively?
  - A. What do you mean affirmatively?
- Q. Meaning what you just said, that you called TrueLink and said cancel it?
  - A. I already answered that; and I said no.



1	
بيال	
2	
3	***************************************
È	-
	-
5	
6	SECRETARION
7	######################################
8	
9	-
_	
Į ()	Z.Service and Color
1.1	202022000000
	distant
12	i de
13	Section of the section of
ŢĄ	and a representation of the second
1,5	CHARLES CONTRACTOR
16	
17	
18	No.
19	and the second
20	Table and State County
21	Marie Street Street
22	A Commence
23	
24	

T a	llow	ed the	sub:	scriptic	n to	lapse by	not	
giv:	ing	them s	uew	czedit	card	number w	ith th	e
con	rect	expir	ratio	date.				

- Q: Because you told the "New York Times" reporter that there was some value to credit monitoring, right?
- A. I told the "New York Times" reporter that it was the best tool available, but it was not as advertised.
- Q. Right. And that you had continued to purchase the product, right?
- A. Well, you still have to be able to look at your credit report, sir.
- Q. Okay. So, when you had the conversation with the reporter for the "New York Times", you still thought that there was value in the credit monitoring service, right?
- A. Not the monitoring service. There is value in having access to your credit report on an ongoing basis, especially when you already know you're a victim of identity theft.

  However, it is not complete identity theft protection as is advertised.
  - Q. Is that what TrueLink advertises?
  - A. I believe that a what was on their



### **PAGE 95 REDACTED**

	ACCOUNT OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR
1	CONT. CO. CO. CO. CO. CO. CO. CO. CO. CO. CO
Ž	And Constitute of eatening
3	
4	-
5	
6.	
Ź.	-
8	The state of the s
9	
10	
11	
9 10 11	
13:	
13	
1.5	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

- Q. You didn't send it, right?
- A. No. I did note
- Q. Okay. And you never called TrueLink and said I was expecting to see accounts relating to Mr. Perez on my husband's credit file and I didn't see it? You never made that call to TrueLink, did you?
  - A. No, I didn't.
  - Q. Did you -- strike that.

I'm going to show you an exhibit, Mrs. Millett.

(M. Millett Exhibit 9 was marked for identification by the reporter.)

- Q. (BY MR. O'NEIL) Mrs. Millett, I'm showing you what's been marked Exhibit No. 9, which I -- which I'll represent to you is the complaint that was filed in the district -- in the federal court in the District of Kansas on behalf of you and your husband suing the seven companies that you identified previously.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And you saw this before it was filed, right?
  - A. Oh, yes.
  - Q. And you made sure that it was accurate,



l		·
1	husband	from each of the three major credit
2	buréaus,	do you recall that?
3	A.	Yeah. I also requested Abundio Perez's
*	credit i	teport too.
<b>S</b>	<b>Q</b> *	Yeah, and you didn't get that; did you?
6	<b>A</b> .	No. Indianor

No, I did not.

But you did get some information from Q -TransUnion that was helpful in your investigation of Mr. Perez's misuse of your husband's Social Security number, right?

MS. YEAGER: Objection.

#### Foundation.

\*\*\*

7

8

9.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

10

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. I don't recall providing somebody a list of accounts that tells you that you need to dispute with each furnisher exactly helpful.
- Q. (BY MR. O'NEIL) Okay. So, you didn't get any helpful information from TransUnion? that your testimony?
- No, that's not the testimony. information from TransUnion. The information was not helpful because the information did not contain the account numbers for the accounts in question, which meant I every time I called one of those furnishers, I had to go through three days of agony, pain and whatever just to try to



12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 15 15 17 18 19 20 11 22	1
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 15 16 7 8 19 20 11 22 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 11 22 11 12 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 11 12 12 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 15 15 17 8 19 20 11 22	
5 6 7 8 9 0 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 11 22	•
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 15 16 17 8 19 20 11 22	4
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 11 22	5
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 11 22	G.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	7
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ŝ
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	9
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	10
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	11
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	12
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	13
16 17 18 19 20 21	14
17 18 19 20 21	15
18 19 20 21 22	16
19 20 21 22	17
20 21 22	ıs
21 22	19
22	20
	<b>2</b> 1
23	22
	23

get to the bottom of what	accounts he actually
had for those furnishers.	And, in some cases,
those furnishers had five,	six, seven, eight or
nine different accounts as	sociated with them,
and it would have been hel	pful to know that
information.	en et en

- Q. What -- how did you get the information regarding what furnishers to contact?
- A. I got that information from the TU letter. But that required the retainer -- retention of a lawyer and \$1,750 worth of legal fees to get that letter.
  - Q. Well, bow do you know that?
  - A. What?
- Q. How do you know that you had to get a lawyer before you could get the information from TransUnion?
- A. Because TU didn't give me that letter in January when I called. They didn't give that -- give me that letter until Adler sent them a letter intending to sue in April of 2003 certified mail.
- Q. So, if you testified elsewhere that the information from TransUnion was helpful, would that have been false testimony?



1	

A . . Mo.

2 Ä

4

5

6

\*

В

g

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

ŹÛ

21

22

23

24

	1.	
ñ.	Oh	Mente

- A The information was somewhat helpful. but, you know, I don't -- you're trying to characterize it as, you know, Transunion is being altruistically helpful, and they're just giving this information to me of their own free will, and I don't see it that way.
- I don't think that's what I asked you, but: Let me make sure I understand. information was helpful in investigating Mr. Perez's misuse of your Social Security number; isn't that correct?
- Yes, the information was somewhat 1 helpful, yes.
- And TransUnion didn't charge you anything for that information; isn't that correct?
- TransUnion didn't charge me anything Δ. for the information?
  - Q. Right.
- No, TransUnion did not charge me anything to send that letter, but it cost me money.
  - The letter cost you money?



7
4.

Z

3

4

5

6

8

98

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

. .

19

20

21

22

23

24

					dn't ge			
informat	ion f	rom	them	until	I hire	ia la	wyer	wh
Lbreaten						a.	:	

- Q. And was this threat in writing?
- A. I believe Adler sent letters saying that he was going to be seeking legal action if they did not respond and provide the information, so at that point the letter was provided.
- Q. And TransUnion provided the information that Experien and Equifax refused to provide, right?
- A. I had not gotten a similar letter from Equifax or Experian at that point, no.
- Q. Well, you've testified before that when Mr. Adler made the same request of Experian and Equifax, that they refused, right?
- A. They did not provide the information, yes.
- Q. Okay. And that testimony was accurate when you testified previously, correct?
  - A. Yeah:
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. Uh-huh:
  - Q. Let me show you what's been marked



### **PAGE 131 REDACTED**

### **PAGE 132 REDACTED**

	1
1	
2	
7	
4:	SECRETARIOUS SECTION
Ŝ	
6	nero perobologica i i i i
···7	The state of the state of
8	
9	*
10	The second second
11	
12	
1,3	
1.4	
1,5	***************************************
16	THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE
17	THE PROPERTY AND P
18	Week.
.19	
20	-
21	***************************************
22	***************************************
23	Annual Control
24	
25	

(A) #45	I don't	know.	1	haver	ı, E	lo	oked	the	at
it in pr	obably t	en yea	rs,	so I	don	i Ę	even	. kn	JW.
if it o	etill on	there	or	not.	It	r s	been	qu:	Lte
a while	• .								

- Q. I'm not asking if it's on there. I'm asking what was the statement that you asked that TransUnion put on your file?
- A. It's very similar in nature to this, but I don't know the exact wording because I haven't looked at it in ten years.
- Q: I won't ask you for the exact wording.
  But what -- so you claim to be a victim of
  identity theft ten years ago?
- A. No. It was not identity theft. I was having problems with an ex-husband.
- Q. Okay, well, you said it's the same as this, and this says, quote, "My identifying information may have been stolen." That's not what your statement says?
- A. It's a consumer statement, so it's the same as this.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. It's in the same box on the credit report.
  - Q. The consumer statement has a phone



Ó

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

1.5

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

split. Fraud alerts do not work, where did you get that information? Or where did you get the information that lead you to that conclusion?

- A. That was much later.
- Q. Okay. And that was -- what information did you get that lead you to that conclusion?
- A. Like I said, you know, in dealing with the investigation and talking to the different furnishers over time, some of the furnishers in question Steve Millett already had a fraud alert on file and they were still receiving information from the credit bureaus obviously on Abundio Perez on active accounts, and they still has not received Mr. Millett's fraud alert.
- Q. I understand now. So, turning to the second document, after you got this information from TransUnion, you started contacting each of the data furnishers that TransUnion identified for you, right?
  - A. Right.
- Q. And it was during the course of those phone calls that you learned the information that lead you to believe that files are split, fraud alerts do not work?
  - A. Right.



200	
3	
****	

Q. Okay.

2

3

Ġ.

E

6

\*

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

2.55

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Because I talked to -- for example, we'll just take for an example on here, Chase, MA. This guy, Chase, Chase Mortgage, and -- Chase, NA, Chase Mortgage and then there's another Chase, Chase had three accounts, a mortgage, a car payment and a credit card. Neal had a three-in-one credit report for Abundio Perez.

- Q. Neal?
- A. That's the guy I talked to.
- Q. Okay.
- A. And he had three-in-one credit report for Abundio Perez, and he specifically told me that your fraud alert does not appear anywhere on there.
- Q. And when did you start making these phone calls to all the data furnishers listed on the April 23 letter?
- A. Would have been some time on or after maybe April 26 or so, because the mail three days, you know, bring it home, lay it on the counter for a day and then you go open it up and go -- because I thought it was another credit report and, you know, you get nine, 10 credit



1	reports in the mail, they were piling up or
2	whatever. I work on them when I can.
3	Q. But then after you finally opened :
4	up, that's when you started making all these
5	phone calls, right?
6	A. Well, first, I looked at this and
7	didn't know what to do, and the first phone
8	I made was to the Foleys at the Identity The
9	Resource Center.
LO:	Q. Okay. And do you recall anything
11	that conversation?
Ŀ2	A: I mean, it was just a general
13	conversation. I was freaked out. Linda and
14	are particularly good at calming down. The
15	provide, you know, victims assistance;
16	counseling, support, that kind of thing.
1.7	Q. Who are Linda and Jay?
18	A. Linds and day Foley? At the Ident
L9:	Theft Resource Center.
Ź0	Q. Oh, okay.
21	A. Yeah.
32	Q. I don't know them. But soon after
23	April 2003, when you first started making t
24	calls at the end of April 2003, you determine
25	that there was separate files for your husb
	_Metropolitan

vbatever	. I work	on the	m whei	d I ca	
Ω.	But then	after	you f:	inally	opened the

- m. you started making all these ght?
- first, I looked at this and I it to do, and the first phone call he Foleys at the Identity Theft
- And do you recall anything about on?
- r, it was just a general I was freaked out. Linda and Jay y good at calming down. iow, victims assistance; port, that kind of thing.
  - e Linda and Jay?
- and Jay Foley? At the Identity Center.
  - ay.
- t know them. But soon after n you first started making these d of April 2003, you determined separate files for your husband

		I
-		
1.		
2		
3	:	
4		
Ę		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN NAM
25		ACCESSED NO.

and for Mr. Perez. right	end for Mr.	Perez,	right?
--------------------------	-------------	--------	--------

- A. Well, that was the assumption. I didn't have concrete proof of that.
  - Q. Understood.
- A. Yeah. Because obviously none of this information had ever been on our file or we would have called somebody and disputed it.
- Q. Right. And some of the data furnishers are saying, well, we got credit reports from Mr. Perez and there's no fraud elert there, right?
- A. Well, not only that, but they couldn't understand how they had gotten the credit report for Mr. Perez when Mr. Millett had a police report and a Social Security card with the actual number that was being used.
- Q. Now, by my count, there's 28 different furnishers identified on the April 23rd letter?
  - A. Yep.
- Q. And you got even more information about the particular accounts that each furnisher had with Mr. Perez, right?
  - A. That 's correct.
- Q. Okay. To your knowledge, had any of those accounts showed up on your husband's



credit fi	Le
-----------	----

I

4

5

Б

Ť

Ò

ş

10

11

12

13

14

15

15

17

18

19

20

23

22

23

24

25

- A: The accounts themselves?
- Q. Yes.
- A. Not on the consumer disclosure, but I don't know that they're not hidden in the master file.
- Q. Okay. Aside from your suspicion that there is something being hidden by TransUnion, you don't have any evidence that these accounts were actually on Mr. Millett's file, correct?

  MS. YEAGER: Objection.

#### Foundation.

- A. I don't know. I think inquiries count as being on someone's file. So, I mean, to the extent that inquiries are also disputable information, I mean, if you want to be technical, no trade lines have ever appeared.
- Q. (BY MR. O'NEIL) Okay. What was your husband's reaction -- your husband never dealt with the bureaus, right, directly?
- A. Well, at that point in time, my husband was too -- too angry to properly deal with anybody.
- Q. Oh, that's why you assumed the responsibility?



2

3

4 5

5

7

Ē

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

1.9∂

20

21

22

23

24

25

Steve assumes a subservient role. I mean, he and I are partners in everything. I mean, to me, the term "pants in the family" means like I'm in charge and he does what I say, and that's not how it is. We do a lot of things together. Each of us have their own specialties and things that we're good at, and those that are good, do, and those that are good at other things, do other things.

Q. (BY MR. O'NEIL) So, do you recall explaining to your husband what you had learned after you got this information from the credit bureaus and the furnishers? Did you explain to him at that time, I'm guessing this is in May of 2003, that Mr. Perez has opened up numerous accounts using his Social Security number?

A. Well, I believe we had the discussion in April when we first got the letter before I even started calling, but by May and possibly the beginning of June, we were aware that each one of these furnishers in some cases had as many as five, six, seven, eight accounts.

- Q. Uh-huh.
- A. So, I mean, you know, it's not 28 accounts, it's 26 credit cards, 11 automobile



## **PAGE 150 REDACTED**

## **PAGE 156 REDACTED**

And then you've got other claims

1	

A. That's correct, yes.

Okay.

Correct.

2

ă,

0

P.

4

5

Ę

7

8

Q. O.

I1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	The only	claims you have ]	eft agains
Pruelink	are those	a latter claims the	at the
oroduct o	lidn't wo	ck, right?	

that say and then after I discovered this

products and they didn't work, right?

identity theft, I bought credit monitoring

- A. That is correct. But to the extent that the product sits on top of the data, the fact that the bureau is or is not providing you all of the data or whether or not it's providing to you and you're not providing it to me, I think that's relevant to Truebink's claims.
- Q. What data has TrueLink not provided to you that you believe they should have provided to you?
- A. Public record judgements were filed with my husband's Social Security number in 2004 while we were subscribed to the product that were not notified in the product. In addition to that, there were credit accounts belonging to Abundio Perez over 2004 and 2005, most notably which would be the Home Depot account and J. C.



Penney's account, and then later the CB USA;
Citibank USA account that were relabeled to
Steve Millett's name and address and/or
variations thereof that were never alerted in
the product.

. 2

· · 9

According to your own documents which you've supplied, the actual credit monitoring subscription itself as registered with TU was allowed to fall off and lapse for well over three months before it was finally restored and put back on while you were charging us.

And in the meantime, the product proceeded to produce blank alerts which would tell you you have an alert, something's changed in your file. You would go into the product, click on the web link, the alert would come up and be a completely blank white box with nothing in it. And I had just used my quarterly credit report from the product, because the product only gives you one report quarterly, and I would be forced to buy a new report thinking it was going to show me some change, and then there was nothing. It matched the report I had just opened two weeks ago from my quarterly allowance and I had just -- now I'm out 9.95.



1
2
3.
4
5
6
7
8
9
LÓ
11
12
13
14
1.5
L6:
17
18.
19
20
<b>2</b> 1.
22
23
ng ja

Ø,	Any other complaints	that	you have
against	the TrueLink produce?		•

- . I'm sorry, I'm thinking.
- Q. Take your time.
- Yeah, my other complaint on the A. Trushink product would be that the product is being marketed now for bulk purchase for companies that are now purchasing it to give to their data breach victims.
- Are there any other complaints, other than what you just described, that you have regarding the credit monitoring service that you bought on behalf of your husband from TrueLink?
- Well, the lack of notification e-mails, they could have provided an alert at any time that said, bey, someone's using your Social Security number. They don't have to give me Abundlo's file, but they could have said, hey, your Social Security number appears in six other people's credit reports. I think that to me would be a big indicator that you had a problem. And it wouldn't violate anybody's privacy:
  - Q. Anything else?
- Notification as to the inquiries. soft inquiries are generated, because a lot of



1.

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12.

13 14

15

16

17

mark-L C

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Metropolitan
GOURT REPORTERS

policies? It's on Page 2 of 3 at the top where it says "Parmers".

- Q. So, what you're saying then is you think TrueLink should have told you what identifying information was used by the inquirer --
  - A. To generate this inquiry.
- Q. Okay. Is this just something you wish they would do or is that something you actually believed that you would get as part of this service?
- Lux Well, I would think that if inquiries were being generated as a result of the Abundio Perez's information; like the Citibank inquiry la was that that information would be disclosed to me. Secause it would be an indicator of identity fraud, and they re promising complete identity theft protection. So, I mean, the Citibank USA inquiry that appears on the TransUnion credit reports from later on in 2004 I believe and 2005 that particular inquiry was generated -- my husband doesn't have an account with Citibank and never did. It was generated as a result of this account that s listed here on this portion of the TransUnion letter from

1	
4,4	

### VIDEOGRAPHER: It is now

2

1:43 p.m. and we are back on the record. may continue,

4

3

(BY MR. O'NEIL) Thank you. Good afternoon, Mrs. Willett.

:5 ∴ 6√

A . Good afternoon,

7

Do you understand that you're still  $\mathbf{Q}_{\star}$ under oath?

8 . 8

A Yès:

10

11

12.

14

15

Q., Are you aware of any instances after August 6th of 2003 in which Mr. Perez used your husband's Social Security number to open up a new account?

13

I believe that there are possible instances of that, yes. But I have no definitive proof let's just say

15 17

Q. Do you have any proof?

18

.19

Well, his house on Pico Street was refinanced in like the spring of 2005 or four, I

20

believe, I can't remember which year exactly it

And I don't know if that information is

21 22

currently being reported under Steve Willett's

23

Social Security number or not. I know the

24

number is attached to the file, but I'm not sure

25

it was used in the initial credit granting



1
2
Ĵ.
4
5.
6
7
B
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

pro	7 <b>0</b> 98.	Or that his	wife was not	the one	that
WAS	using	the number.	So, I mean,	that's	What
the	issue	is there.			

- Any other possible openings of accounts Q. by Mr. Perez using your husband's Social Security number that you're aware of?
- Judgements that were reported in the A. Ford Motor case when Ford Motor obtained a legal judgement using Steve Millett's Social Security number, I believe that one was in 2004 as well. That's when they start calling to collect the judgement.
- Q. Ford Motor had a judgement entered against Mr. Perez?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. How did you learn that?
- 4 There were two ways I learned about that. One was through LexisNexis public records search, and the other one was via phone calls that were made by Ford Motor Credit to the house about Mr. Perez's debt that was outstanding.
- Do you know why -- was Mr. Perez not 0. paying his bills to Ford Motor? Is that what prompted the judgement, do you know?
  - What prompted the judgement was the



1	
2	
3	
Œ.	
5	
б	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	The state of the s
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	-
25	

cars were	repossessed,	and the sell-off	of the
care did	not satisfy t	he note deficienc	ise

- Q. Okay. And the care were repossessed because you alerted Ford Motor to the fact that Mr. Perez had submitted a fraudulent application, right?
- A. No, because when I initially alerted Ford Motor, they took no action.
- Q. Do you have an understanding as to why Ford Motor repossessed the cars?
- A. Yes, because I sent an e-mail to the CEO.
- Q. So you prompted the repossession of the automobiles?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And you also succeeded in having many of Mr. Perez's credit grantors close his accounts, right?
  - A. That would be a true statement.
- Q. Okay. How many accounts do you think you managed to have closed?
- A. Well, I know I was successful in a majority of cases. It's probably easier to talk about the exceptions than it is to talk about the ones that were actually closed, because, I

ì	

2

ب

A . 5

Ę,

7

8

S

10

11

12

13

T 4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Metropolitan

mean, to sit there and try and rattle off I closed this one or I closed this one, it's not --

Q. That's fine. But your understanding is that you succeeded in having most of the accounts that you learned about through TransUnion's April 2003 letter that they be closed?

A. Some of the accounts were already inactivated. What I succeeded in doing is marking them so they could not be reactivated. But then there were some accounts that were not closed. And even though the furnishers in question said that they had been closed, they did not close them. And then there were of course some accounts that I did close.

I don't know if I'd characterize it as "most" simply because there's a mixture in there of different account statuses.

Q. So, let me ask you about how you've been able to remedy the misuse of your husband's Social Security number. Or maybe put another way how you were able to limit Mr. Perez's ability to benefit from the misuse of your husband's Social Security number. You prompted

Ford Motor to repossess his two ca
------------------------------------

- It took six months, but yes. A
- Okay. You were able to have some of his accounts closed, right?
  - 1 Yes.

Case 1:05-cv-00599-SLR

- Are you aware of any other consequences to Mr. Perez resulting from your investigation of what he had done?
- He was convicted by the Department of Motor Vehicles of lying to the California DMV.
- Do you know what kind of penalty he got as a result of that conviction?
- i Li I have no idea. I know that it originally went up there were like three charges that were made, I think that was the only one that was eventually followed through on. think they were either dropped or reduced or he pled guilty or however that works.
- And have you seen any court records or police records that indicate the criminal charges being filed against him?
- I think the record that we received was like just a partial record of what that information contained, like there were three



l
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
11 12
13
15 15 16
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24

- Q. On behalf of your husband, you purchased certain products from TrueLink in August of 2003, right?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And have you always been the one to access the e-mails and the website of TrueLink on behalf of your husband?
  - A. Pretty much, yeah, uh-huh.
- Q. Okay. I mean, to your knowledge, your husband never accessed the website, right?
- A. Not where he went like by himself and logged in, no.
- Q. Okay. And you testified I believe earlier today that you let the subscription to credit monitoring lapse in November of 2006 because -- when the credit card was no longer active, right?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. Okay.
- A: But the subscription probably would have continued on for like three months, because I think they renew it quarterly. So, you know, that was the last payment that was made. So whatever it is, three months or the quarter is after that date is probably when it expired,



3)

	180
1.	which January, maybe February of '07, I don't
2	know.
3	Q. Okay. Did you continue to get e-mails
4	from TrueLink until January or February 2007?
5	A. I got an e-mail from TrueLink yesterday
,Б-	advertising for me to come back and resubscribe
.j.,	to the product.
8	Q. Did you continue to get e-mails, credit
9	monitoring alert e-mails, from TrueLink until
10	January or February this year?
11	A. Well, they only give you send you an
12	alert if you if there's been a change.
13	Q. Okay.
14	A. So, there's not been an alert e-mail in
15	January or February of '07.
16	Q. When was the last time you got an
17	alentz
18	A. Oh, it's been I think in December some
19	time
20	Q. December of 2006?
21	A. Yeah.
22	Q. Okay.

When we bought the car.

That inquiry prompted the alert; is that right?



23

24

1
2
3
4
5
6
<del>**</del>
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
22

specif	io que	≢¢tior	came	up o	the specific	
charge	s that	peof	le wan	ited	the information fo	ž
that.	Sc I	went	out to	, get	the bank records	
apecif	ically	/ for	this t	urpo:	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	

- On behalf of your husband, you've also purchased credit reports and other products from TrueLink, right?
  - That would be correct. Δ.
- Q. None of those are really mentioned in your complaint though, do you recall that? I mean, your complaint is about credit monitoring, that's the product you reference in the complaint?
  - A . Correct.
- Do you -- are you swing -- are you suing TrueLink with regard to the other products that you purchased other than credit monitoring?
- I think we've reduced it just down to the breach of contract for the credit monitoring TrueLink product, so yes. But, I mean, in the beginning, I think that we were suing for fair credit reporting violations which would have concerned the reports that were involved.
- And are you suing -- have you ever Q. purchased credit monitoring for yourself?



1	

A. No. Not that I'm aware of.

1

Q. Okay. Have you ever purchased any products from Truelink for yourself?

4

5

A. I think there was a purchase made for a three-in-one credit report for myself at some point in time. But I cannot find the records that are associated with it.

6

Q. When did you make this purchase?

8

9

A. I mean, I don't recall the exact time period. I know that there was a time period that we bought both reports for my husband and myself, because we didn't know what was going on with all of the banking information and everything was all chaotic. So, there was a point in time where I had both my report and his

You know,

you buy the credit report online and you go to

TransUnion.com, you get a credit report through

TrueLink. So, whether I bought the report from

10: 11

12

13

Q. Prom TrueLink?

to delineate that relationship.

TransUnion or TrueLink, I don't know.

it's TrueLink or not.

14

16

17

A. Well, from TransUnion.

report.

18

19

20 21

22

23

\*\*\*

24 25

only tell you that I bought a report.

Metropolitan

COURT REPORTERS

BROODINGTAN CHESK PA

I don't know if

it's very hard

You know, if

Ŀ
Ź
3
4
<b>5</b> .
б.
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
16 15 16 17
18
19
<b>2</b> 0
21:
22)
23

25

3.	I only g	ave you	those	things	which were
in my po	esession.	If it	's not	in my i	ossession,
I don't !	know that	I'm ob	Ligated	l to pro	duce is if
	in my sa				

Q. Do you know what year you allegedly purchased this three-in-one credit report from TrueLink on your own behalf?

MB. YEAGER: Objection. Asked and enswered.

- A. I would assume it would have to be some time after March of 2005, because that s when the website records that I had a new membership created.
- Q. (BY MR. O'NEIL) But in any event, whether or not -- I mean, assuming that you actually did buy this product, you're not suing on that product, right?
- A. That's a credit report, that's not monitoring.
- Q. Ma'am, it's really a yes or no answer.

  I'll say it again. Regardless -- assuming that
  you actually bought this three-in-one credit
  report relating to yourself from TrueLink,
  you're not suing TrueLink on behalf -- with
  regard to that product, right?

- 24
- **7**4. Right.
- 25
- And did you review the



4	Ļ	

purchased a product from TrueLink?

2 3

I believe I purchased a three-in-one credit report.

A.

4

0. Do you know how much it cost you?

5 6

I can't even say. Maybe 19,95 or whatever they charge for it. I don't really cost wasn't the issue when I purchased it

"7

B anyhow.

9

What was the purchase -- what was the  $Q_{\star}$ purpose for which you purchased it?

10

Α. Just to look at my own information.

11 12

Q. Did you see any defects in the three-in-one credit report?

13

M. What do you mean?

14 15

Did you see any deficiencies in the product that you had purchased from TrueLink?

16 17

Well, I mean, not on TrueLink's part, A. but there were deficiencies in credit furnishere that had misreported information on my behalf,

19

18

20 YES.

21

22

23

24

- Q. Have you ever entered into a contract with TrueLink?
  - Not for monitoring, no. À"
- Have you ever entered into a contract  $Q_{\star}$ with TrueLink for any product?



## **PAGE 227 REDACTED**

1.
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

more	than	just	ell you	how m	ich you	owed,	
right	:? I	mean,	for exam	ople, t	he very	first	page
of Ex	chibit	15.	That doe	esn't t	ell you	how m	ıch
you 'i	e doi	ng to	have to	nav. c	ines its		

- A. 10.95 per quarter.
- Q. Okay. Did you read the text on the first page of Exhibit 15 prior to deciding to purchase the product?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Did you read all of it?
  - A. I read a lot of this, yes.
- Q. Directing your attention to the very first page, did you read all of the text on that first page before you decided to buy the product?
- A. Well, like I probably didn't read this little box down here where it says "example credit trending." I mean, you know, I read the basic text that s on the page.
- Q. Well, on the right-hand side of the page, it tells you what you're going to get as part of the product, right?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Did you read that part?
  - A. Oh, yeah.



1
2
3
4.
5
<b>(5</b> )
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
IV.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Q.	Oh okay,	And then on	the	far	right	of
each of	those four	categories,	ĭt :	заув,	says	
*learn W	• but 1:11	represent t	o you	1 <b>i</b> t	says	
"learn m	ore." Oka	<b>v</b> 7				

- It probably was cut off because this is one of those elongated pages that --
  - Q. Sure.
  - -- didn't want to print right.
- Do you recall, did you click on these "learn more" links to learn more about the characteristics of the product that you were about to buy?
- A I probably read all of this and then read -- clicked the "yes keep me informed" button.
- Q. So, then is it your testimony that you did not click on the "learn more" links associated with each of the four categories of information?
- No. that's not what I'm representing to 1 you.
- Okay. O. That's my question, that's why
- I can't say that before I signed up for the product I clicked the "learn more" buttons,

-	
2	,
1	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
g	40.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
LB	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

23

24

25

because I may not have. But I may have done so at a later date.

- Q: Okay.
- A. And so I may have a copy in here of the "learn more" and I may have actually read those pages:
  - Q. All right.
- A. But I can't recall in what order I might have viewed that information, only that I've probably viewed every page that's out there on the TU site at some point in time or other now.
  - Q. The TU site?
  - A. The TU, TrueLink, whoever it is now,
- Q. Okay. Well, I think it's important that we understand what site we're talking about, wouldn't you agree?
- A. Well, as I sit here, it still says "TransUnion" at the top.
- Q. Okay. Let me go back to the question I asked some time ago.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. Do you know if you ever clicked on the "learn more" hyperlinks which is reflected on the first page of Exhibit 15?



1	A. I couldn't answer that one way or the
2	other
:3	Q: Okay. Because you don't know, right?
4	A. I don't know for sure, no. I could
5	have and I also could not have.
e	Q. The top of the page says *Knowledge.
7	protection, convenience. Do you see that,
a	ma!am?
9	A: Yes:
10	Q. Says, "Knowledge, quarterly access to
11	your credit report with the analytical tools,"
12	right?
13	A. Zeb
14	Q. Okay. Did you read that?
15	MS. YEAGER: I'm so to interrupt.
16	What page are we on?
17	MR. O'NBIL: First page.
18	THE WITNESS: We're on the first
19	page:
20	A. Yes, I read that.
21	Q. (BY MR. O'NEIL) Okay. And then going
22	down in the right-hand side, it describes your
23	weekly fraud watch e-mails. I mean, that was
24	the main thing that you were getting as part of
25	the credit monitoring service, right?

1,	
2	

A. The main thing?

3

Q. Well, you know, that's a bad question, let me withdraw that. Do you see there it says, "Receive weekly e-mail alerts to changes in your

4

report"?

6

A. Yes:

T B

Q. And then below that it says, "Immediately find out about credit report changes, including fraudulent activity, etc."

10

g

Do you see that?

Yes.

74

A

11

12

13

Q. When you read this, did you think to yourself, well, this is only going to tell us about changes to my husband's report and not

14 15

about changes to Mr. Perez's report?

16

theft protection with weekly fraud watch

18

17

e-mails" at the very top:

19

Q. Could you just answer my question?

20

court reporter read back my question?

MR. O'NEIL:

21 22

(Whereupon, the requested portion

It says up here, "Complete identity

23

of the record was read by the reporter.)

24

A. No, I did not.

25

Q. (BY MR. O'NEIL) And did you believe on



Let me go -- can the